IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 15TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 26TH MAGHA 1928

RP.No. 977 of 2006(J)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.21931/2006 Dated 21/08/2006

.....

: REVIEW PETITIONER/2ND RESPONDENT IN THE W.P.(C):

RAJESH T.G., S/O.GOPALA PANICKER,
P.C.KAVALA P.O., PAIPADU, (VIA) CHANGANASSERY,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.HARIHARAN
SRI.PRAVEEN.H.

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER AND 1ST RESPONDENT IN W.P.(C):

---

1. SANTHOSH JOSEPH,
KADAMATHDE, THRIKODITHANAM, CHANGANASSERY,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

2. SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.DEEPAK
GOVERNMENT PLEADER ADV.SMT.LAKSHMI RANI

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 15/02/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

==========

R.P. No.977 Of 2006

In

W.P.(C) No.21931 of 2006

==========

Dated this the 15th day of February, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Heard.

It is pointed out that before Annexure 1 judgment was issued on 21.08.06, Annexure II regular permit was issued on 11.08.06 to the 1st respondent herein, the writ petitioner in W.P.(C)No.19687/2006. Therefore the direction contained in the last sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the judgment sought to be reviewed (judgment dated 21.08.06 in W.P.(C) Nos.19687/2006, 21931/2006 and 19081/06) does not stand in the way of issuing temporary permit or any further regular permit to any person or the person herein.

This review petition is disposed of as above.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

sj